

Docket No.: 264922US0PCT

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
BC.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/521,783

Applicants: Peter BASSLER, et al.

Filing Date: January 21, 2005

For: CONTINUOUSLY OPERATED PURIFICATION BY

DISTILLATION OF THE 1,2-PROPYLENE GLYCOL FORMED IN THE COPRODUCT-FREE SYNTHESIS

OF PROPYLENE OXIDE

Group Art Unit: 1621 Examiner: DAVIS, B.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Restriction Requirement

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon 1

James H. Knebel

Registration No. 22,630

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) **KET NO: 264922US0PCT**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION OF

PETER BASSLER, ET AL. : EXAMINER: DAVIS, B.

SERIAL NO: 10/521,783

FILED: JANUARY 21, 2005 : GROUP ART UNIT: 1621

FOR: CONTINUOUSLY OPERATED PURIFICATION BY DISTILLATION OF THE 1,2-PROPYLENE GLYCOL FORMED IN THE COPRODUCT-FREE SYNTHESIS OF PROPYLENE OXIDE

RESPONSE TO THE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Requirement for Restriction mailed January 5, 2007, Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I: Claims 11-27, drawn to a process for the purification by distillation of 1,2-propylene glycol.

Applicants request that the Examiner expand the search to the non-elected claims of Groups II, Claim 28, if the elected Group I claims are found allowable.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.